

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/647,599	10/03/2000		Leigh T Canham	124-796	1219
23117	7590	01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON &		RHYE, PC	KISHORE, GC	LLAMUDI S	
8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22201-4714	1615		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/647,599	CANHAM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 I	November 2004.						
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.						
,	, _						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 154-162 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 154-162 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ratent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

In view of applicant's request for a new office action dated 11-22-04, the previous action prosecuting claims 144-153 is vacated.

The following is a new action and the claims included are 154-162.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 154-162 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 97/06101 of record in combination with Cortese (4,608,048), Gopferich (WO 97/32570, English Equivalent, US 6,086,908).
- 3. WO discloses biomaterial wherein the bioactive porous silicon is impregnated with active agents such as calcium, sodium or phosphorous. The implant device may be a sensor device or a device for intelligent drug delivery (abstract, page 6, lines 5-6). According to WO, bioactive silicon provides the advantage over other bioactive materials in that it is compatible with silicon based integrated circuit technology (page 3, lines 1-2). The porosity is taught on page 13, lines 10-29. Although the porous silicon impregnated with calcium, sodium or phosphorous used in the examples, WO on page 3, lines 23-29 teaches that bioactive silicon could be either microporous (pore diameter 20 A) or mesoporous (pore diameter 20 A to 500 A).

Although the implant in WO has two specific regions of silicon, the porous region and the bulk region, WO does not specifically teach that the implant could be used for sequential delivery of drugs.

Cortese (4,608,048) while disclosing a drug delivery device containing microporous material teaches that the device can be used to deliver two drugs sequentially (abstract, col. 2, lines 21-35, col. 7, line 47 through col. 8, line 18). Cortese does not teach silicon as the microporous material.

Gopferich while disclosing a sequential drug release device teaches that if the same active compound is administered continuously, tumour cells tend to develop resistances and that implants which release pharmaceutical substances discontinuously or sequentially could counteract this development (col. 1, lines 14-33 of the English equivalent, 6,086,908).

To load a single drug or different drugs in different bioerodable regions of the implant of WO would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since the references of Cortese, and Gopferich show the routine use in the art and that of Gopferich in particular teaches the advantages of using sequential or discontinuous delivery of a single drug or different drugs.

Alternately, to use bioactive silicon as the porous material in Cortese or Gopferich would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because of the advantages of silicon over other bioactive material taught by WO 97/06101.

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

GSK